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#### Abstract

Rainfall is a scarce and an important hydrological variable in dry land areas. The need for water in these areas increases daily due to population growth, economic development, urbanization and consequently, water management using all available resources is becoming increasingly crucial. Therefore probability analysis of rainfall is necessary for solving various water management problems and to access the crop failure due to deficit or excess rainfall. Scientific prediction of rain and crop planning may prove a significant tool in hands of farmers for better economic returns. Frequency analysis of rainfall data has been attempted for different rainfall period. Frequency analysis helps us determined expected rainfall at various chances. A major step in frequency analysis of precipitation involves selection of a suitable distribution for representing precipitation depth to investigate the extremes. Four distributions namely Gumbel, Log Pearson Type III, Log Normal and Van Te Chow can be applied for prediction of annual maximum rainfall. The daily rainfall data of 26 years of Rorkee, Uttarakhand collected from National Institute of Hydrology have been used in this study. The paper tries to fit various theoretical probability distributions to annual maximum rainfall for one day, two consecutive days, three consecutive days, four consecutive days; and to select the best probability distribution for annual maximum rainfall prediction for daily, two consecutive days, three consecutive days, four consecutive days.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall is one of the most important natural input resources to crop production and its occurrence and distribution is erratic, temporal and spatial variations in nature. Most of the hydrological events occurring as natural phenomena are observed only once. One of the important problem in hydrology deals with the interpreting past records of hydrological event in terms of future probabilities of occurrence. Analysis of rainfall and determination of annual maximum daily rainfall would enhance the management of water resources applications as well as the effective utilization of water resources (Subudhi, 2007). Probability and frequency analysis of rainfall data enables us to determine the expected
rainfall at various chances (Bhakar et al., 2008). Such information can also be used to prevent floods and droughts, and applied to planning and designing of water resources related to engineering such as reservoir design, flood control work and soil and water conservation planning (Agarwal et al., 1988 and Dabral et al., 2009). Though the rainfall is erratic and varies with time and space, it is commonly possible to predict return periods using various probability distributions (Upadhaya and Singh, 1998). Therefore, probability analysis of rainfall is necessary for solving various water management problems and to access the crop failure due to deficit or excess rainfall. Scientific prediction of rains and crop planning done analytically may prove a significant tool in the hands of farmers for better economic returns (Bhakar et al., 2008). Frequency analysis of rainfall data has been attempted for different return period (Bhakar et al., 2006; Barkotulla et al., 2009; Nemichandrappa et al., 2010; Manikandan et al., 2011 and Vivekanandan, 2012). Probability and frequency analysis of rainfall data enables us to determine the expected rainfall at various chances. The probability distribution functions most commonly used to estimate the rainfall frequency are normal, log-normal, log-Pearson type-III and Gumbel distributions. There is no widely accepted procedure to forecast one day, two consecutive days, three consecutive days, four consecutive days maximum rainfall. In the present study, an attempt was made to determine the statistical parameters and annual one day, two consecutive days, three consecutive days, four consecutive days maximum rainfall using various probability levels using four probability distribution functions, viz., normal, log-normal, log-Pearson type-III and Gumbel distribution and to select the best probability distribution system.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The rainfall data for 26 years (1987-2012) were collected from National Institute of Hydrology (NIH), Roorkee which is located at $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $77^{\circ}$. $53^{\prime}$ on the south bank of Solani River and 274 meters above the mean sea level. The Upper

[^0]Ganges Canal is the most important feature and adds beauty to the city. Running from north to south, it divides the city in two distinct parts.

The city receives an annual average rainfall of 1068 mm out of which the average monsoon rainfall is 878 mm .

The four probability distributions that are adopted for analysis are the Gumbel, Log Pearson Type III, Log Normal and Van Te Chow distributions. These distributions are elaborated in the upcoming sections.

### 2.1 Plotting position method

The purpose of frequency analysis of an annual series is to obtain a relationship between the magnitude of the event and its probability of exceedence. The probability analysis may be made either by empirical or by analytical methods. A simple empirical technique is to arrange the given annual extreme series in descending order of magnitude and to assign an order number $m$. thus for the first entry $m-1$, for the second entry $\mathrm{m}=2$, and so on. till the last event for which ni - Number of years of record. The probability $P$ of an event equaled to or exceeded is given by the Weibull formula.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{m} /(\mathrm{N}+1)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \mathrm{T}=1 / \mathrm{P}
\end{align*}
$$

where T is recurrence interval in years.
The empirical formulae, i.e. equation (3.1) was used to calculate the exceedence probability of the event, equation (3.1) is considered to be the most popular and frequently used. The plot of daily maximum rainfall vs return period, T yields the probability distribution. A logarithmic scale period for T is often advantageous. This simple empirical procedure can give good results for small extrapolations and the errors increase with the amount of extrapolation. For accurate work, various analytical calculation procedures using frequency factors are available. These methods include Gumbel's extreme value distribution, Log Pearson Type III, Log Normal and Van Te Chow distributions. The methods of fitting these distributions are described further.

### 2.1.1 Gumbel's extreme value distribution

The Gumbel's extreme value distribution was introduced by Gumbel (1941) and is commonly knownn as Gumbel's distribution. It is one of the most widely used probability distribution function for extreme values in hydrologic and meteorological studies for the prediction of flood peaks, maximum rainfalls, maximum wind speed etc.

Chow (1951) showed that most frequency distribution functions applicable in hydrologic studies can be expressed by the following equation known as equation of hydrologic frequency analysis:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=\bar{X}+K \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the value of the variate X of random hydrologic series with a return period of $\mathrm{T}, \bar{X}$ is the mean of the variate, $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the variate and K is the frequency factor.

Since practical data series of extreme events of rainfall depth have finite length records, equation (3.3) is modified to account for finite sample size N for practical use as given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=\bar{X}+K \sigma_{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad \ldots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ represents the standard deviation of sample and is expressed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\sqrt{\frac{(X-\bar{X})^{2}}{N}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency factor K , is expressed by the equation as,

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{Y_{T}-\bar{Y}}{s_{n}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the reduced variate that depends on recurrence interval T and is expressed by the equation-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}=-[\ln (\ln \mathrm{T} /(\mathrm{T}-1))] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which Y is the reduced mean in equation(3.6), and depends on the sample size $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the reduced standard deviation in equation (3.6). Yn and Sn corresponding to sample size N are selected.

The equations ( 3.4 to 3.7 ) are used to estimated extreme rainfall magnitude corresponding to given recurrence interval based on annual rainfall series

1. The 26 years rainfall is assembled for the sample size N in the present study. Annual maximum rainfall value is considered as the variate X , the mean of the variate $\bar{X}$ and standard deviation of the sample, $\sigma_{n-1}$ for given data is calculated.
2. Tables from appendix of Subramanyam(2009) are used to find the reduced mean $\bar{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and the reduced standard deviation $\bar{S}_{n}$ corresponding to sample size N equal to 26 .
3. The reduced variate, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}$ for a given return period, T is given by the equation (3.7).
4. The frequency factor is computed by equation (3.6).
5. The required value of variate $X$ of random annual maximum rainfall series with return period T is computed by equation (3.4)

### 2.1.2 Log Pearson Type III

This distribution is extensively used in USA for the projects sponsored by the US government. In this the variate is first transformed into the logarithmic form (base 10) and transformed data is then analyzed. If X is the variate of a random hydrologic series, then the series of variates where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\log \mathrm{X} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this series determined by the eqution (3.8), the equation (3.3) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}=\bar{Z}+K_{z} \sigma_{\mathrm{z}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which Kz is the frequency factor which depends on recurrence interval T and coefficient of skewness, $\mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Z}$ is the mean of Z values and $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ is standard deviation of Z value sample, $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}$ can be expressed as-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=-\sqrt{\frac{\sum(Z-\bar{Z})^{2}}{N-1}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficient of skewness is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\sqrt{\frac{N \sum(Z-\bar{Z})^{2}}{(N-1)(N-2)\left(\sigma^{3}\right)}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

After finding $Z_{T}$ using equation(3.9), the corresponding value of variable, $X_{T}$ is obtained using the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=\operatorname{antilog} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.3 Log Normal distribution

Log normal distribution is a special case of Log-Pearson type III distribution. When the skew is zero, i.e. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$, $\log$ Pearson type III distribution reduces to Normal distribution. The other statistics like $\bar{Z}$ is calculated for transformed rainfall data and $\sigma_{\mathrm{Z}}$ can be calculated from equation (3.10), the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ for a given return period T and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ is read from appendix of Subramanyam(2009). A Log Normal plots a straight line on logarithmic paper.

### 2.1.3 Van Te Chow distribution

In the Van Te Chow distribution, the plotting position is assigned to each annual maximum rainfall value arranged in decreasing order of magnitude. If rank of X value is m , its plotting position for return period

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\frac{N+1}{m} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where N is equal to total number of years of observation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{V}}=\log [\log \mathrm{T}-\log (\mathrm{T}-1)]  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{V}}=\log \log \frac{T}{T-1} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

On substitution of value of T

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{V}}=\log \log \frac{N+1}{N+1-m} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regression analysis between variable X and Y computed through equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{BZ} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which A and B are constants, which can be determined by the following equation-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\left(\sum \frac{x i}{N}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B} \sum \frac{Z_{i}}{N}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\frac{\sum Z i X i-\sum \mathrm{Zi} \frac{Z i}{N}}{\sum \mathrm{Zi}^{2}-\frac{\sum \mathrm{Zi}}{N}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the constants A and B are determined, the equation (3.17) can be used to determine $X_{T}$ for any desired return period T. The theoretical extreme rainfall magnitudes were computed based on equation $(3,17)$, corresponding to slected recurrence intervals of $1.05,1.11,1.25,2,5,10,25,50$ and 100 years.

### 2.2 Goodness of Fit Criteria

### 2.2.1 Chi-Square test

Chi -square test is a statistical hypothesis test in which the sampling distribution of the statistic is a chi-squared distribution when the null hypothesis true. The following equation can be used to calculate the Chi-Square values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{2}=\left(\frac{(R O-R E)^{2}}{R o}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{o}$ and $R_{E}$ are the observed and estimated rainfall magnitudes, respectively. The distribution with the least average value of the Chi- Square values is adjusted to be the best.

### 2.2.2 Percentage absolute deviation

The goodness of the fit of the computed and observed rainfall magnitudes can also tested using percentage absolute deviation (PAD) which can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PAD}=\left|\frac{R o-R e}{R o}\right|^{*} 100 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where PAD is the percentage absolute deviation of the compound extreme rainfall values with respect to the observed values

### 2.2.3 Integral square error

The integral square error (I.S.E ) was used to measure the goodness of fit between the observed and estimated extreme rainfall. The integral square error values of distribution were estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ISE }=\frac{\sqrt[2]{\sum(R E i-R o i)^{2}}}{\sum \text { Roi }} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{oi}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ei}}$ are the observed and estimated values of the extreme rainfall magnitudes illustrated in the upcoming tables
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## 3. RESULTS AND CALCULATIONS

| Return Period(T), years | Probabilit | Observed | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \text { Log } \\ \text { Pearson } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Chi Square Test |  |  |  | PAD |  |  |  | I.S.E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Log Normal | Chow | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | Van Te Chow | Gumbel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}$ | Log Normal | Van Te <br> Chow | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Log Normal | Van Te <br> Chow |
| 1.05 | 95 | 65.321 | 54.333 | 60.496 | 63.524 | 81.869 | 2.222151 | 0.384829 | 0.050834 | 3.344811 | 16.82154 | 7.386598 | 2.75103 | 25.33335 | 0.168215 | 0.073866 | 0.02751 | 0.253334 |
| 1.11 | 90 | 67.816 | 66.889 | 73.281 | 74.475 | 85.828 | 0.012847 | 0.407558 | 0.595398 | 3.780027 | 1.366934 | 8.05857 | 9.819217 | 26.5601 | 0.013669 | 0.080586 | 0.098192 | 0.265601 |
| 1.25 | 80 | 73.15 | 83.665 | 91.443 | 90.308 | 91.028 | 1.321523 | 3.65948 | 3.259921 | 3.511259 | 14.37457 | 25.00752 | 23.45591 | 24.44019 | 0.143746 | 0.250075 | 0.234559 | 0.244402 |
| 2 | 50 | 94.025 | 122.696 | 134.743 | 130.599 | 103.172 | 6.699699 | 12.30458 | 10.24248 | 0.810953 | 30.49295 | 43.3055 | 38.89817 | 9.728264 | 0.30493 | 0.433055 | 0.388982 | 0.097283 |
| 5 | 20 | 135.397 | 175.211 | 189.828 | 188.863 | 119.498 | 9.047118 | 15.60746 | 15.13591 | 2.115334 | 29.40538 | 40.20104 | 39.48832 | 11.74251 | 0.294054 | 0.40201 | 0.394883 | 0.117425 |
| 10 | 10 | 166.521 | 209.98 | 223.498 | 229.017 | 130.115 | 8.994593 | 14.52531 | 17.05441 | 10.18635 | 26.09821 | 34.2161 | 37.5304 | 21.86271 | 0.260982 | 0.342161 | 0.375304 | 0.218627 |
| 25 | 4 | 207.664 | 253.912 | 262.543 | 281.382 | 143.986 | 8.423696 | 11.47128 | 19.31305 | 28.16168 | 22.27059 | 26.42682 | 35.49869 | 30.66396 | 0.222706 | 0.264268 | 0.354987 | 0.30664 |
| 50 | 2 | 238.788 | 286.503 | 289.411 | 321.187 | 154.107 | 7.946588 | 8.85484 | 21.13907 | 46.53177 | 19.98216 | 21.19998 | 34.50718 | 35.46284 | 0.199822 | 0.212 | 0.345072 | 0.354628 |
| 100 |  | 269.911 | 318.855 | 314.491 | 361.836 | 164.194 | 7.512867 | 6.319343 | 23.35369 | 68.06634 | 18.13338 | 16.51656 | 34.05752 | 39.16736 | 0.181334 | 0.165166 | 0.340575 | 0.391674 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN | 5.797898 | 8.170521 | 12.23831 | 18.50095 | 19.88286 | 24.70208 | 28.44516 | 24.9957 | 0.198829 | 0.247021 | 0.284452 | 0.249957 |

For 1 day maximum rainfall


For 1day maximum rainfall


For 2 dayonsecutive maximum rainfall
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| Return Period(T), years | robabilit | Observed | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \text { Log } \\ \text { Pearson } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Log } \\ \text { Normal } \end{array}$ | Van Te Chow | Chi Square Test |  |  |  | PAD |  |  |  | I.S.E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gumbel | Log <br> Pearson | Log <br> Normal | Van Te <br> Chow | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Log } \\ \text { Normal } \end{array}$ | Van Te <br> Chow | Gumbel | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Log Normal | Van Te <br> Chow |
| 1.05 | 95 | 82.273 | 54.333 | 62.384 | 65.533 | 81.869 | 14.36776 | 6.340926 | 4.27613 | 0.001994 | 33.96011 | 24.1744 | 20.34689 | 0.491048 | 0.339601 | 0.241744 | 0.203469 | 0.00491 |
| 1.11 | 90 | 85.289 | 66.889 | 75.058 | 76.2662 | 85.828 | 5.06152 | 1.394566 | 1.067457 | 0.003385 | 21.57371 | 11.99569 | 10.57909 | 0.631969 | 0.215737 | 0.119957 | 0.105791 | 0.00632 |
| 1.25 | 80 | 91.737 | 83.665 | 92.825 | 91.6592 | 91.028 | 0.778787 | 0.012752 | 6.6E-05 | 0.005522 | 8.799067 | 1.185999 | 0.084808 | 0.772862 | 0.087991 | 0.01186 | 0.000848 | 0.007729 |
| 2 | 50 | 117.249 | 122.696 | 134.45 | 130.307 | 103.172 | 0.241816 | 2.200628 | 1.308536 | 1.920695 | 4.645669 | 14.67049 | 11.13698 | 12.00607 | 0.046457 | 0.146705 | 0.11137 | 0.120061 |
| 5 | 20 | 166.986 | 175.211 | 186.28 | 185.25 | 119.498 | 0.386109 | 1.998381 | 1.800668 | 18.87153 | 4.925563 | 11.55426 | 10.93744 | 28.43831 | 0.049256 | 0.115543 | 0.109374 | 0.284383 |
| 10 | 10 | 204.611 | 209.98 | 217.219 | 222.64 | 130.115 | 0.137281 | 0.731804 | 1.459957 | 42.65192 | 2.624004 | 6.161937 | 8.811354 | 36.4086 | 0.02624 | 0.061619 | 0.088114 | 0.364086 |
| 25 | 4 | 254.348 | 253.912 | 252.711 | 270.951 | 143.986 | 0.000749 | 0.010604 | 1.017378 | 84.58997 | 0.171419 | 0.643606 | 6.527671 | 43.39016 | 0.001714 | 0.006436 | 0.065277 | 0.433902 |
| 50 | 2 | 291.973 | 286.503 | 276.806 | 307.392 | 154.107 | 0.104435 | 0.831044 | 0.773428 | 123.3366 | 1.873461 | 5.194658 | 5.280968 | 47.21875 | 0.018735 | 0.051947 | 0.05281 | 0.472187 |
| 100 |  | 329.598 | 318.855 | 299.225 | 344.39 | 164.194 | 0.361958 | 3.083028 | 0.635336 | 166.6229 | 3.259425 | 9.215165 | 4.487891 | 50.18356 | 0.032594 | 0.092152 | 0.044879 | 0.501836 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN | 2.382268 | 1.844859 | 1.370995 | 48.66717 | 9.092491 | 9.421799 | 8.688122 | 24.39348 | 0.090925 | 0.094218 | 0.086881 | 0.243935 |

For 2 day consecutive maximum rainfall


For 3 day consecutive maximum rainfall


For 3 days consecutive maximum rainfall
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| Return |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chi Square Test |  |  |  | PAD |  |  |  | I.S.E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Period( } \mathrm{T}), \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | Probability | Ob | Gu | Pearson | Log <br> Normal | Chow | Gumbel | $\log$ <br> Pearson | Log <br> Normal | Van Te Chow | Gumbel | $\log$ <br> Pearson | Log <br> Normal | Van Te Chow | Gumbel | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Log } \\ \text { Pearson } \end{array}$ | Log <br> Normal | Van Te <br> Chow |
| 1.05 | 95 | 93.736 | 63.121 | 75.562 | 78.987 | 115.484 | 14.84891 | 4.371169 | 2.754035 | 4.095593 | 32.66088 | 19.3885 | 15.73462 | 23.20133 | 0.326609 | 0.193885 | 0.157346 | 0.232013 |
| 1.11 | 90 | 97.036 | 78.694 | 89.2554 | 90.547 | 120.745 | 4.275154 | 0.678253 | 0.465031 | 4.655403 | 18.90226 | 8.018261 | 6.687209 | 24.4332 | 0.189023 | 0.080183 | 0.066872 | 0.244332 |
| 1.25 | 80 | 104.092 | 99.5 | 108.075 | 106.85 | 127.655 | 0.211924 | 0.14679 | 0.071189 | 4.34934 | 4.411482 | 3.826423 | 2.649579 | 22.63671 | 0.044115 | 0.038264 | 0.026496 | 0.226367 |
| 2 | 50 | 132.01 | 147.9 | 150.885 | 146.683 | 143.795 | 1.707181 | 2.361173 | 1.46777 | 0.965863 | 12.03697 | 14.29816 | 11.11507 | 8.927354 | 0.12037 | 0.142982 | 0.111151 | 0.089274 |
| 5 | 20 | 186.437 | 213.038 | 202.375 | 201.362 | 165.492 | 3.321535 | 1.255194 | 1.106245 | 2.650841 | 14.26809 | 8.548732 | 8.005385 | 11.23436 | 0.142681 | 0.085487 | 0.080054 | 0.112344 |
| 10 | 10 | 227.609 | 256.16 | 232.403 | 237.62 | 179.868 | 3.182228 | 0.09889 | 0.421766 | 12.67153 | 12.54388 | 2.106244 | 4.398332 | 20.97501 | 0.125439 | 0.021062 | 0.043983 | 0.20975 |
| 25 | 4 | 282.036 | 310.644 | 266.338 | 283.589 | 198.037 | 2.634584 | 0.925242 | 0.008505 | 35.62886 | 10.14339 | 5.565956 | 0.550639 | 29.78308 | 0.101434 | 0.05566 | 0.005506 | 0.297831 |
| 50 | 2 | 323.208 | 351.064 | 289.102 | 317.718 | 211.487 | 2.2103 | 4.02356 | 0.094864 | 59.0182 | 8.618599 | 10.55234 | 1.698597 | 34.56629 | 0.086186 | 0.105523 | 0.016986 | 0.345663 |
| 100 | 1 | 364.38 | 391.188 | 310.107 | 351.959 | 224.893 | 1.837144 | 9.498523 | 0.43835 | 86.51502 | 7.357155 | 14.89462 | 3.408804 | 38.28064 | 0.073572 | 0.148946 | 0.034088 | 0.382806 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN | 3.803218 | 2.595422 | 0.758639 | 23.39452 | 13.43808 | 9.688803 | 6.027581 | 23.782 | 0.134381 | 0.096888 | 0.060276 | 0.23782 |

For 3 days consecutive maximum rainfall

| 0p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return Period(T), years | Probabilit | Observed | Gumbel | Log Pearson | $\begin{gathered} \log \\ \text { Normal } \end{gathered}$ | Van Te Chow | Chi Square Test |  |  |  | PAD |  |  |  | I.S.E |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gumbel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Pearson } \end{aligned}$ | Log <br> Normal | Van Te Chow | Gumbel | Log <br> Pearson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Log } \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | Van Te <br> Chow | Gumbel | Log <br> Pearson | Log <br> Normal | Van Te <br> Chow |
| 1.05 | 95 | 104.456 | 63.121 | 96.83 | 80.771 | 125.711 | 27.06836 | 0.600598 | 6.945305 | 3.593759 | 39.57169 | 7.300682 | 22.67462 | 20.34828 | 0.395717 | 0.073007 | 0.226746 | 0.203483 |
| 1.11 | 90 | 107.732 | 78.694 | 115.066 | 92.138 | 130.98 | 10.71499 | 0.46745 | 2.639224 | 4.126351 | 26.95392 | 6.807634 | 14.47481 | 21.57947 | 0.269539 | 0.068076 | 0.144748 | 0.215795 |
| 1.25 | 80 | 114.734 | 99.501 | 147.83 | 108.08 | 137.902 | 2.33208 | 7.409492 | 0.409657 | 3.892302 | 13.2768 | 28.84585 | 5.799501 | 20.19279 | 0.132768 | 0.288459 | 0.057995 | 0.201928 |
| 2 | 50 | 142.441 | 147.9 | 175.927 | 146.683 | 154.07 | 0.201492 | 6.373736 | 0.122677 | 0.877742 | 3.832464 | 23.50868 | 2.978075 | 8.164082 | 0.038325 | 0.235087 | 0.029781 | 0.081641 |
| 5 | 20 | 196.456 | 213.03 | 232.33 | 199.072 | 175.803 | 1.289478 | 5.539293 | 0.034377 | 2.426275 | 8.436495 | 18.26058 | 1.331596 | 10.51279 | 0.084365 | 0.182606 | 0.013316 | 0.105128 |
| 10 | 10 | 237.317 | 256.16 | 242.851 | 233.518 | 190.203 | 1.386082 | 0.126107 | 0.061804 | 11.67032 | 7.940013 | 2.331902 | 1.600812 | 19.85277 | 0.0794 | 0.023319 | 0.016008 | 0.198528 |
| 25 | 4 | 291.332 | 310.644 | 271.497 | 276.92 | 208.402 | 1.200581 | 1.449103 | 0.750057 | 33.00057 | 6.628863 | 6.808384 | 4.946933 | 28.46581 | 0.066289 | 0.068084 | 0.049469 | 0.284658 |
| 50 | 2 | 332.193 | 351.064 | 289.477 | 308.976 | 221.875 | 1.014387 | 6.303287 | 1.744566 | 54.85098 | 5.680734 | 12.85879 | 6.989009 | 33.20901 | 0.056807 | 0.128588 | 0.06989 | 0.33209 |
| 100 | 1 | 373.054 | 391.188 | 305.086 | 341.013 | 235.304 | 0.840624 | 15.14212 | 3.010518 | 80.64063 | 4.860958 | 18.21935 | 8.588837 | 36.92495 | 0.04861 | 0.182193 | 0.085888 | 0.369249 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | MEAN | 5.116453 | 4.823465 | 1.746465 | 21.67544 | 13.02021 | 13.88243 | 7.709355 | 22.13888 | 0.130202 | 0.138824 | 0.077094 | 0.221389 |

## For 4 days consecutive maximum rainfall

## 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

1. The minimum average values using three different goodness of fit criterion viz. Chi square test, Percentage Absolute deviation and Integral Square Error(ISE) were found $5.79,19.88$ and .198 in case of Gumbel distribution for one day maximum rainfall and 1.370, 8.688 and .0868 in case of Log Normal distribution for two consecutive days.
2. The minimum average values using three different goodness of fit criterion viz. Chi square test, Percentage Absolute deviation and Integral Square Error(ISE) were found $0.758,6.02$ and .0602 in case of Log Normal distribution for three consecutive day maximum rainfall and $1.746,7.709$ and 0.077 in case of Log Normal distribution for four consecutive days.
3. The Gumbel distribution was found to be the best fit for one day annual maximum rainfall value of Roorkee based on performance evaluation criteria.
4. The Log Normal distribution was found to be the best fit for prediction of two, three and four consecutive day
annual maximum rainfall values of Roorkee based on performance evaluation criteria.
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